



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.marpol.2018.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.05.012)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nikitine, J., Dawson, T. P., & Wilson, M. (2018). Developing a framework for the efficient design and management of large scale marine protected areas. *MARINE POLICY*, 94, 196-203.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.05.012>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	JMPO_2018_85
Title	Developing a framework for the efficient design and management of large scale marine protected areas
Article type	Full Length Article

Abstract

Following the designation of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument in 2000, globally there has been a growing trend in establishing large, remote, no-take marine reserves (>150,000 sq km), generally known as Large-Scale Marine Protected Areas (LSMPAs). Yet such MPAs with vast geographical areas bring design and management challenges, as the islands and seas are spread over hundreds of nautical miles and are largely inaccessible and often uninhabited. In order to understand how management of LSMPAs can be successfully sustained, this study evaluates the Pitcairn Islands Marine Reserve (PIMR), designated in September 2016, against a framework based on 10 criteria, which were derived from the IUCN WCPA's Guidelines for Design and Management of Large-Scale MPAs. Initial findings show the PIMR was satisfactory in design focusing on sound management practices, taking into account uncertainties around financial sustainability and future administrations. This study identifies the importance of: acquiring robust baseline data, being fully protected (no-take), using ecosystem-based management, community inclusion, and of adopting an ecologically connected network approach. These features are needed for large marine reserves to maximize achieving both ecological and socio-economic goals, with particular attention to engagement of local communities. This study opens the possibility of refining and adapting the criteria developed through the PIMR case study as starting point for other Large-Scale MPAs, as their global expansion could benefit from comparative analysis. It also acknowledges the importance of having comparative design and management guides, contributing towards globally recognized standards for large-scale MPAs.

Keywords	Large scale marine protected areas, design, implementation, management effectiveness, IUCN WCPA, Big Ocean
Corresponding Author	JAMES NIKITINE
Corresponding Author's Institution	Manaia Productions
Order of Authors	JAMES NIKITINE, A. Meriwether Wilson, Terry Dawson

Submission Files Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Cover_letter_final.docx [Cover Letter]

Abstract_final.docx [Abstract]

Title_final.docx [Title Page (with Author Details)]

PIMR_evaluation_final.docx [Manuscript (without Author Details)]

Captions_final.docx [Figure]

Submission Files Not Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Figure1.png [Figure]

Figure2.png [Figure]

Figure4.png [Figure]

Figure5.png [Figure]

Figure3.png [Figure]

Figure6.png [Figure]

Table1.png [Table]

Table3.png [Table]

Table2.png [Table]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

James Nikitine
404 Route du Pont de l'Hermance
74140 Veigy-Foncenex
France
jamesnikitine@gmail.com
+44 (0)79 08 139 306

Marine Policy
Elsevier

To whom it may concern

Dear Sir or Madam,

I write to you this cover letter, accompanying my submission of a research paper to Marine Policy, written by myself and A. M. Wilson and T. P. Dawson.

Please acknowledge reception of this submission, with the title *Developing a framework for the efficient design of large scale marine protected areas*.

I am at your disposal for any questions or concerns,

Your sincerely,

James Nikitine

ABSTRACT

Following the designation of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument in 2000, globally there has been a growing trend in establishing large, remote, no-take marine reserves (>150,000 sq km), generally known as Large-Scale Marine Protected Areas (LSMPAs). Yet such MPAs with vast geographical areas bring design and management challenges, as the islands and seas are spread over hundreds of nautical miles and are largely inaccessible and often uninhabited. In order to understand how management of LSMPAs can be successfully sustained, this study evaluates the Pitcairn Islands Marine Reserve (PIMR), designated in September 2016, against a framework based on 10 criteria, which were derived from the IUCN WCPA's Guidelines for Design and Management of Large-Scale MPAs. Initial findings show the PIMR was satisfactory in design focusing on sound management practices, taking into account uncertainties around financial sustainability and future administrations. This study identifies the importance of: acquiring robust baseline data, being fully protected (no-take), using ecosystem-based management, community inclusion, and of adopting an ecologically connected network approach. These features are needed for large marine reserves to maximize achieving both ecological and socio-economic goals, with particular attention to engagement of local communities. This study opens the possibility of refining and adapting the criteria developed through the PIMR case study as starting point for other Large-Scale MPAs, as their global expansion could benefit from comparative analysis. It also acknowledges the importance of having comparative design and management guides, contributing towards globally recognized standards for large-scale MPAs.

Developing a framework for the efficient design and management of large scale marine protected areas

Nikitine, J., Wilson A. M., Dawson, T.P.

KEYWORDS

Large scale marine protected areas, design, implementation, management effectiveness, IUCN WCPA, Big Ocean

INTRODUCTION

Marine protected areas, including marine sanctuaries, parks and no-take marine reserves (MRs) have been widely seen as socially, economically and ecologically viable mechanisms to provide both direct and indirect benefits for nature and humans, such as replenishing fisheries stocks, along with biodiversity, education, research, culture and economic values (Ballantine, 2014). There are 15,604 estimated MPAs around the world with the largest five representing more than 50% of all the protected ocean (UNEP-WCMC, 2018). These were all designated between 2000 and 2012 and largely in the Pacific Ocean. Recently, immense deep-sea oceanic areas have been set aside, adding up to 80% of MPA coverage worldwide, with most being large-scale (Leenhardt et al., 2013). Yet, there is limited previous experience or knowledge in managing extremely large and remote ocean areas, therefore risking these becoming 'paper parks' and potential economic priorities outweighing the original conservation objectives (Wilhelm et al., 2014; De Santo, 2013).

The Aichi Targets of the Convention on Biodiversity (CBD) are calling for 10% of coastal and marine areas to be fully protected by 2020, especially 'areas of particular importance for biodiversity and ecosystem services' (CBD, 2010). However, at present only about 6.97% of the global ocean is protected, accounting for 25 million square km (UNEP-WCMC, 2018, protected-planet.org, 2018). In the last five years, there has been a noticeable effort towards raising ocean protection, with sustained advocacy between international gatherings, e.g. the 'Our Ocean' conferences, to IMPAC4 in Chile, and the Economist's 'Global Ocean Summit', all of which praised MPAs for long-term ecological benefits. The UN's first Ocean Conference in New York will now be organized every three years (UN, 2017), and the nomination of a UN Special Envoy for the Ocean (Ambassador Peter Thomson from Fiji), whose main role is to bring forward the implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 14 and positively support and represent the ocean community's interests as a whole. In parallel, progress from long-term efforts in drafting a high seas treaty, (Wright et al., 2015) is accelerating and likely to result in more high seas MPAs, making the 10% target attainable, and as well as progress for 30% MPA coverage now called for the wider conservation community (IUCN, IMPAC4, 2017).

As a response to international conservation targets, the government of the United Kingdom (UK), with the 5th largest Economic Exclusive Zone (EEZ) in the world, put

forward a plan to create a 'Blue Belt' of reserves (Conservative Manifesto, 2015). This included the Pitcairn Islands, a UK Overseas Territory in the central South Pacific Ocean with four islands, a land area less than 50 km² and an EEZ of over 836,000 km². In March 2015, the UK government announced their intention to designate 99% of the Pitcairn EEZ as a marine reserve, at that time the largest in the world. This process was achieved after 5 years of engagement with The Pew Charitable Trusts (hereafter, Pew) through Global Ocean Legacy (GOL) (Dawson, 2015). The GOL programme started in 2006 with a goal to protect the ocean for future generations by establishing 15 marine reserves of at least 200,000 km² by 2022 (Rand, 2016). In 2016, the Pew Bertarelli Ocean Legacy partnership was subsequently formed to help realize the GOL. The Pitcairn Marine Reserve (PIMR) announcement came after several years of scientific research, facilitation with the Pitcairn community, campaigning by NGOs, political lobbying and public engagement. Formally, the PIMR was announced, inscribed in the UK budget and legally declared following the release of a monitoring, enforcement and budget assessment in late 2016 (Art. 2.259, UK Budget, 2015).

The term Pitcairn refers to a group of four islands located in the central South Pacific Ocean and associated sea area, lying between 23.9°S-24.7°S and 124.7°W-130.7°W, halfway between South America and New Zealand. Pitcairn Island is an extinct volcano, Oeno and Ducie islands are low coral atolls, and Henderson Island is a raised coralline island. The total land area of the Pitcairn group is 49 km² and its EEZ has a total size of 836,108 km², approximately 3 times the size of the UK (Irving and Dawson, 2012). In 2011, Pew commissioned a report to compile all available evidence to build the case for increased marine environment protection, using scientific expedition data from as early as 1825 (Irving and Dawson, 2012; Christian, 2011). In 2012, an expedition led by the National Geographic Society's Pristine Seas in collaboration with the GOL team was conducted to survey the health of the marine ecosystems and to provide new and current evidence for a proposed designation as a marine reserve. Results showed that Pitcairn's waters are pristine and high in biodiversity (Sala et al., 2012; Friedlander et al., 2014). The research campaign was the most significant ever executed in this region, and confirmed discovery of the undisturbed nature of Pitcairn's waters. A team of twelve scientists conducted 388 dives around the four islands at depths of 10m and 20m, while also surveying deeper parts of the EEZ with drop-down cameras at depths of 75m to 1,600m. They filmed, conducted land surveys and assessed human impacts, such as plastic pollution (Sala et al., 2012; Friedlander et al., 2014). This data, coupled with

marketing campaigns aimed at raising Pitcairn's 'pristine' profile enabled GOL and its partners to build the case for the PIMR reserve.

RESEARCH APPROACH

The main objective of any marine protected area is to protect existing resources or to increase resources. To gauge its conservation efficiency, IUCN establishes a cycle of design, management, monitoring, evaluation and adaptation, made of inputs and outputs (Pomeroy et al., 2004). This paper used empirical evidence to evaluate the design and implementation phase, to inform the operational stage. While acknowledging there is no one-stop simple solution for design or management for any given MPA, this study applied a simplified yet adaptable framework to the Pitcairn Islands Marine Reserve (PIMR).

The overall research approach used for this study was to evaluate the PIMR's design and implementation process against a set of guidelines developed by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature's World Commission on Protected Areas (IUCN-WCPA) and the Big Ocean think tank, made of MPA practitioners from around the globe. Through the use of 10 criteria adapted from these guidelines, grey literature and interviews, the PIMR was evaluated using a traffic light approach (TLA). Developed for fisheries management (Caddy, 2002) this particular visualization tool was chosen in order to convey clear information to wide audiences. The use of a simple green, amber and red color scheme for each criterion does indeed make results legible to communicate widely. It is therefore also easier to identify weaknesses and take appropriate measures in the development towards the management and operational running phase. Each criterion was assessed according to performance and was awarded either a red (doesn't meet the criterion), amber (inadequately meets the criterion) or green (meets the criterion).

Informal interviews were conducted with some of the stakeholders directly involved in the PIMR design and implementation process. These included current and former Pew staff, Pitcairn Environment Group members, academics and professionals working in the field of MPA management effectiveness, Big Ocean network members and IUCN-WCPA members. Most of the data collected and used to evaluate the PIMR's adherence to the Big Ocean and WCPA-Marine Guidelines included grey literature, Pew briefs and reports as well as relevant journals and articles. It also included scientific reports from the

expeditions and web content. This study was conducted from May 2016, was first completed through November 2016, and updated during 2017-2018.

The Large-Scale Marine Protected Area (LSMPA) Guidelines are the latest addition to the 'Best Practice' series developed by IUCN-WCPA. The success of a protected area can only be assessed by how effective it is in relation to its initial objective, whether ecological, socio-economic or educational (Hockings et. al., 2006; Kelleher & Kenchington, 1992; Salm et al., 2000). Although each site has its own characteristics and complexities, there has been an increase in scientific monitoring and reporting and evaluation of individual management practices, that can be associated to certain indicators to ensure both scientific and management criteria are being met (Pelletier, 2011). For decades, IUCN-WCPA have worked to publish resources and make 'Best Practice' guidelines available for governments, policymakers and managers to improve conservation practices and set recognizable standards starting with Kelleher and Kenchington, 1992; Kelleher, 1999. In 2010, the trend of MPAs 'going big' was acknowledged by marine park managers. This in part gave rise to "Big Ocean", an informal think-tank and network whose aim is to develop new methodologies and standards for the scale-specific design and management of large oceanic spaces (Big Ocean, 2010). After nearly a decade, in September 2017, at the 4th International Marine Protected Area Congress (IMPAC4), IUCN-WCPA and Big Ocean successfully launched the LSMPA Guidelines (Lewis et al., 2017).

The following table presents the 10 criteria which were developed by taking key data from the Guidelines, refining them and using them as an 'LSMPA standard framework'.

RESULTS - Applying the IUCN WCPA / Big Ocean framework to PIMR

The section below gives an overview of how the PIMR performed against all 10 criteria adapted from the LSMPA Guidelines. It includes PIMR case specific details as to why each criterion was either fulfilled, inadequately met or was not met at all.

1. Good governance

Governance of the PIMR is done by the UK government but it is also a community-led bottom-up process, using a collaborative approach based on transparency and shared

objectives. Institutionally, Pitcairn is the 'smallest democracy in the world', a UKOT and is subsequently governed through the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO), the British High Commissioner to New Zealand, also Governor of Pitcairn based in Wellington. The MR is primarily managed by the Natural Resources Division (Solomon & Burnett, 2014). The PIMR was proposed for designation following a public consultation period and instruction issued towards the Commissioner of the Overseas Territory by the British Foreign Secretary following approval by the UK parliament (Moscrop, Pers. Com.). It was officially announced by the FCO in September 2016, and a fisheries management plan was drafted in partnership with the University of Dundee, SPC and the Pitcairn Government (Dawson et al., 2017).

2. Good design

The LSMPA definition requires them to be at least 150,000 square km (Lewis et al., 2017). The PIMR is 834,000 square km so it falls in that category. The overall design benefited from a good balance of ecological and socio-economic assessments undertaken from 2010-2017, achieved through a number of reports, two UK government-funded projects (under the UK Darwin Initiative programme), a scientific expedition and consultations with the local community. As a 99% fully protected no-take marine reserve, the only zoned areas allocated for non-commercial local subsistence fishing are designated Coastal Conservation Areas (CCAs) comprising the 12nm territorial waters around all of Pitcairn's four islands, and the '40 Mile Reef', a seamount located 75 km to the SE of Pitcairn Island (Dawson, 2015; Friedlander, 2014). This agreement was achieved through several consultations with the local community conducted by Pew, where other topics were covered such as entrance fees, cruise liner shipping, prohibition of fishing and all commercial extractive activities inside the reserve, as well as individual island policies around shell and coral collection, wildlife camping, signposting and visitors to the islands (Royle, 2015). Ultimately, good design was largely achieved thanks to the support, engagement and enthusiasm of the Pitcairn Island Council and community, which was triggered by the 2012 expedition, making crucial scientific baseline data available. Combined with the many photographic records and videos, it reinforced the necessity for conservation.

3. Sustainable financing

Currently, there is no evidence of adequate sustainable finance for the PIMR's long-term management, monitoring, enforcement and evaluation, as an economic forecast predicts increased deficit (Solomon & Burnett, 2014). Pitcairn is limited by its isolation, ruggedness and unsuitability for any significant agricultural or industrial production. Nutrient poor waters create a low-value fishery not tailored for commercial uses, even though climate change has been forecast to increase stocks, a speculative statement (Bell et al., 2011). The small and ageing population makes financial sustainability ever more challenging as the number of physically able individuals declines (Solomon & Burnett, 2014; Whitebread, 2013). Due to the collapse of the Pitcairn Island Investment Fund, the islands have been dependent on UK budgetary aid since 2004 at levels of up to £2.9 million per year. Historically, philately used to be the only income, now supplemented by the sale of souvenir coins, landing fees, internet domain names and honey, accounts for up to NZ\$ 200,000 per year. There are approximately 12 cruise ships that come to Pitcairn each year, and between 20-30 private yachts a year, but accessibility is still the biggest obstacle to substantial development of tourism opportunities. In 2005, an economic report was ordered by Pew to establish a financial case for the MR and identified areas where financial sustainability could be reached. The reputation, high-value selling point and branding of having the 'world's largest marine reserve' could increase visitor numbers benefitting the local economy. National Geographic Expeditions and Lindblad Expeditions now offer 'upmarket' cruises to Pitcairn (Dickie, 2006; Whitebread, 2013).

4. Ecosystem-based management

In 2013, Pew, National Geographic and the PIC prepared a report entitled 'Pitcairn Marine Research Outline Plan' (Koldewey, 2013). It identified the main steps to inform of scientific research and management priorities for the PIMR. It builds upon the baseline report of Irving and Dawson and the importance of the 2012 NG expedition in providing pre-marine reserve quantitative data on species diversity, including algae, coral, fish abundance and overall fish biomass (Sala et al., 2012). Suggestions such as monitoring protocols for each island are proposed, standardized of methods of surveying, as well as an inclusion within international databases and studies (e.g. IUCN Red List, Living Planet Index). Understanding the PIMR's populations of endemic species, migratory paths of marine mammals, seabirds, turtles, reef fish, invertebrates, sharks is therefore key to manage effectively. While these are included individually as ways to improve understanding of the PIMR, they will require to be integrated strategically in a holistic regional ecosystem-

based approach to inform decision making adequately. Fundamentally, biodiversity monitoring is crucial to practice an efficient EM management strategy. The leads on this are RSPB, the Darwin Initiative, Blue Ventures, ZSL, the Institute for Pacific Coral Reefs, the University of Western Australia and Pitcairn Islanders themselves. A second National Geographic expedition was proposed to take place in 2022. This should allow for a unique comparative study to assess the health of the PIMR before and after the PIMR was designated (Gammell, Pers. Com.).

5. Planning

The Pew GOL team used a cyclical approach to the implementing of the PIMR, leading to its designation. Everyone involved in the process engaged into what was a carefully strategized political campaign with some attention focused on developing relationships with series of planned meetings and discussions. Initially mandated by Pew, the marine environment report (Irving and Dawson, 2012) and the scientific expedition report (Sala et al., 2012) created an incentive to present to the community what Pitcairn waters had to offer. The proposed designation of the PIMR is unique in its planning as it managed to combine an NGO and community-led bottom-up approach with a proactive top-down approach, converging interests both at community level and nationwide and meeting international conservation targets. Aside from Pew, planning was therefore delegated to various stakeholders and slowly instigated by PIC members, NGOs and politicians who drove the institutional PIMR process, mainly MPs Zac Goldsmith and Oliver Letwin, with the UK manifesto 'Blue Belt' declaration. In this sense, Pitcairn's remoteness and the time taken to get there gave it gradual and steady progress. Undoubtedly, the real key to the planning timeline was to get the early support from the Pitcairn Islanders themselves (Gammell, Pers. Com.).

6. Stakeholder engagement

Three visits in total were made to the islands by the GOL team and partners to get the final PIC green light for the reserve, a process started in March 2012 with the NG scientific expedition. It was during the 3rd trip in 2013 that the PIC voted unanimously in favor of the marine reserve (Whitebread, Pers. Com.). International communication, outreach and support for the PIMR was consolidated through the attendance at IMPAC3 where several Pitcairners made the trip with the 'Protect Pitcairn' campaign, receiving tremendous

support (IMPAC3, 2014). Domestically, effective stakeholder engagement could not have been achieved without the active involvement of NGOs and particularly the Great British Oceans and its Marine Reserves Coalition, of which the Blue Marine Foundation, ZSL, the Marine Conservation Society, Greenpeace and NG are members. Through lobbying, it put pressure on the government, raised awareness and rallied support to make progress towards the proposal for designation (Moscrop, Pers. Com.) The Pitcairn Environment Group, of which the first meeting took place on 20th May 2013, and most recently on 5th May 2016 with the 8th meeting, equally played a key role in building trust between stakeholders. Further meetings are planned to pursue what is an essential forum for exchange, personal relationship building between the local community, the FCO, NGOs and various associations linked to Pitcairn and its environment (PEG, 2016). Whether the PIMR managed to fulfil the agendas of Pew, the Pitcairn community, UK NGOs and government and international targets, it has mainly been the fruit of concerted effort and hard work at the people level.

7. Compliance

Pew partnered with the UK government agency Satellite Applications Catapult to monitor the PIMR through 'Eyes on the Seas', a campaign to end IUU fishing, representing \$23.5 billion worth of catches each year (Young, 2015). As remoteness is an obstacle leading to high cost of enforcement, compliance can be achieved at minimal cost using pioneering technology based on satellite imagery, fishing vessel databases and EEZ boundaries. This monitoring and surveillance technology uses optical satellite sensors providing high-resolution imagery. Combined with Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS), Synthetic Aperture Radar (SYR) and Automatic Identification Systems (AIS) data, it can allow for a risk-profiling analysis of vessel movement, used to trace illegal activity, saving both time and effort (Risotto, 2015; Briley and Fletcher, 2014). Pew undertook a 13-month pilot monitoring phase, producing 10,000 hours of monitoring during which up to 517 vessels were reported inside Pitcairn's EEZ, an average of 50/month and 90% identified by AIS, of which 180 were fishing vessels. Only 8 vessels were reported without their AIS switched on and could have potentially fished in the EEZ illegally. Pew is confident this technology is efficient to monitor large MRs, and with the first data coming from Pitcairn, compliance is already very high in the reserve.

8. Effective administration

473
474283
475
476284 Whilst Pew led the design and implementation process through the GOL campaign,
477285 whether they will be involved in the PIMR administration remains uncertain. The Pitcairn
478285 Environment Group forum was the main facilitation and administrative tool to ensure all
479286 PIMR information was centralized, informing activities to different parties (RSPB, the
480287 Pitcairn and Norfolk Islands Society, the UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum)
481287 (Pew, 2016). Legally, the PIMR will be formally administered by the FCO, the PIC and its
482288 Division for Natural Resources, the Mayor's office (currently Shawn Christian) while
483288 NGOs are expected to ensure government actions are in line with the local community's
484289 objectives (Bradner, Pers. Com.) In New Zealand, British High Commissioner Jonathan
485290 Sinclair, also Governor of Pitcairn, is the main administrator for the islands, as well as
486290 recently appointed Deputy Governor Robin Shackell, sworn in on June 2nd 2016
487291 (Shackell, Pers. Com.). The MPA management plan was published in June 2016,
488292 involving stakeholders throughout the design phase. Activity over the last few months
489292 highlights is that a lot of effort has been made to avoid a top-down government led
490293 process that would have excluded the local community. Where the PIMR success lies is
491293 through its commitment to engage with its community and to administer collaboratively.
492294
493295
494295
495296
496297
497297
498298
499299
500299
501300
502300

503301 9. Communication

504302
505303

506304 The PIMR designation proposal managed a positive communication strategy, both
507305 internally and externally. Pew, the FOC and all NGOs involved in the design and
508305 implementation process held numerous meetings, forming the 'Pitcairn Environment
509306 Group' whilst Pew ensured the message across published information and brochures was
510306 consistent. Through Zac Goldsmith's highly visual publication (Goldsmith, 2014), MPs in
511307 the UK were able to work politically to include the 'Blue Belt' inside their Manifesto. This
512308 could not have been done without intense lobbying input from the NG expedition, its
513308 scientific report, and marine biologist Dr. Sala's TED talks, with subsequent
514309 documentaries on YouTube, that allowed the world to discover the pristine nature of
515310 Pitcairn's waters and agree on why it is important to preserve them. Undoubtedly, coming
516310 together at IMPAC3 and working to achieve a successful 'Protect Pitcairn' campaign was
517311 important. Communication was at the heart of this innovation, reinforced by technological
518311 improvements, digitalization of societies and strengthened by the participatory role of
519312 social media, and the rise of the 'ocean optimism' hashtag across Twitter. More websites
520313 (e.g. MPA News, Open Channels) are becoming access points to marine protection
521313
522314
523315
524315
525316
526316
527317
528318
529318
530
531

information and journals, calling for an alignment of objectives and collaboration through communication strategies (Day et al., 2014).

10. Vision and mission statement

The simple but efficient 'Let's create the largest marine reserve in the world' title for the joint Pew, PIC and National Geographic Society publication for the PIMR is what the implementing team set out to do and thus succeeded (Pew, 2015). Eloquently, it matches the 2006 GOL objective to create the 'first generation of great marine parks' (Rand, 2015). This is also consistent with the Pristine Seas mission vision, launched in 2008 by Dr. Sala, who sought out to save the 'last true wild places in the ocean', giving the world this sense of great responsibility and urgency, which so far has helped protect more than 3 million square km of ocean territory, (NG, 2016).

DISCUSSION

As a summary, this evaluation finds an 80% level of positive criterion fulfilment using the 10 simplified criteria, so 8 out of 10. Not only was the PIMR design and implementation process effective from its inception to its designation in late 2016, but it established how through collaboration and a mixed bottom-up and top-down approach how successful results can be achieved, despite the scale of the ambition. Genuine trust was built across stakeholders, with the shared objective to tick all boxes at the ecological and socio-economic levels. However, there is a great deal of uncertainty in the ability for Pitcairn to effectively administer and finance the PIMR as the UK undergoes significant political change with Brexit. It will have to dedicate financial and human resources, technological and institutional input required to manage its assets, an issue that applies to all UKOT.

While Pitcairn is a good example of a large, no-take, remote, enforced and isolated MR, it is a newly established MPA and will need to be reviewed periodically over time with future surveys. A study surveying 87 MPAs looking at all fish biomass, large fish biomass, species richness of all fish and large fish numbers was conducted and established five key features an MPA should possess: no take, well-enforced, old (more than 10 years), large (bigger than 100 km²), and isolated by deep water or sand, establishing the 'NEOLI' framework (Edgar et al., 2014). The pristine, or relatively low impact, nature of the PIMR is a fundamental rationale for preserving these large marine areas and islands. Hence attention needs to be focused on evaluating actual ecological benefits inside the reserve.

This needs to be a priority for managers and given the scale, remoteness and high operating costs, an emphasis on collaboration across LSMPA is necessary, in all areas including scientific research expeditions (Wilhelm et al., 2013).

This study also highlights that without community participation at the start of an LSMPA design and implementation phase, yet the road to success remains challenging. While it is may be easier for a small close-knit community with somewhat shared values to achieve a consensus such as on Pitcairn, in the future, teams may need to address a wide variety of stakeholders, each with different agendas, cultural values and immediate priorities. Performance results from MPAs around the world suggest the social aspects of their management are the main elements for long-term success. However, they can also be undermined, if ecological interests are overly prioritized. Comparatively, the Marianas Trench National Monument, Papahānaumokuākea, the French Austral Islands or Marquesas cases aren't as straightforward, because of political and economic changes, e.g. Trump's Executive Order 13795 ordering for a review of all National Marine Sanctuaries and Marine National Monuments or French Polynesia's industrial fishing expansion ambitions. An important new study looking at the human dimensions of LSMPA establishment in Palau, Marianas Trench, Kiribati, Easter Island and Bermuda found that stakeholder processes, governance, politics and socio-economic factors are equally important for efficient MPA design and implementation. They mainly highlight people's different interpretations of ocean space based on their identity, cultural and spiritual reasons to take a position in favor of the LSMPA or against it (Gruby et al., 2017). Another study identified ten considerations to focus on, ranging from attachment to place to participation, and highlighted is that it is very easy to dismiss the importance of the human in planning and to prioritize ecological objectives by drawing lines on a map (Charles and Wilson, 2009).

This opens the door for increased research around the social science agenda for LSMPAs, if we want to understand socio-ecological processes better, to improve MPA efficiency (Gruby et al., 2015). Partnerships across LSMPAs can bring knowledge exchange, education and communication, and networks such as Big Ocean are important to bring people together in order to learn from each other (Wilhelm et al., 2013). Collective and participatory enforcement could be explored, as well as reinforcing close cooperation. Inherently, Big Ocean could help provide this much-needed platform for connectivity, to achieve a well-managed network of LSMPAs as per international conservation targets.

CONCLUSION

In terms of study limitations, this research did not separately weigh each criterion, nor did it use detailed attributes to define them. Whether the PIMR will fulfil its objective of marine protection, taking into account future climate change and uncertainties around its finance and administration, is impossible at this stage. It will require the rigorous implementation of the management plan and permanent, frequent evaluation. While the Big Ocean and WCPA-Marine LSMPA Design and Management Guidelines are useful to plan the implementation and help towards the drafting of a management plan, often broad generic instructions are not sufficient. Firstly, there is the obvious need to tailor solutions at the local level to make them work specifically for the context in which they are located, as each site is different. Secondly, design and management professionals need to take into account other MPA Standards (IUCN WCPA, 2018) to avoid becoming paper parks. Fundamentally, any marine reserve success is first about the people who are involved.

References

- Ballantine, B. (2014). Fifty years on: Lessons from marine reserves in New Zealand and principles for a worldwide network. *Biological Conservation*, Vol.176 pp. 297-307
- Bell J. D., Johnson J.E., Ganachaud A.S., Gehrke P.C., Hobday A.J., Hoegh-Guldberg O., Le Borgne R., Lehodey P., Lough J.M., Pickering T., Pratchett M.S. and Waycott M. (2011) Vulnerability of Tropical Pacific Fisheries and Aquaculture to Climate Change: Summary for Pacific Island Countries and Territories. Secretariat of the Pacific Community, Noumea, New Caledonia.
- Big Ocean (2010). Big Ocean. A Network of the World's Large-Scale Marine Managed Areas. Communiqué, December 6th, 2010, Honolulu, Hawai'i
- Briley, J., & Fletcher, K. (2014). Technology for Fisheries Monitoring and Surveillance, Pew Charitable Trusts infographic
- Caddy, J.F. (2002). Limit reference points, traffic lights, and holistic approaches to fisheries management with minimal stock assessment input. *Fisheries Research* Vol. 56 pp. 133-137
- Charles, A., and Wilson, L. (2009). Human dimensions of Marine Protected Areas. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, Vol. 66 pp. 6-15

- Christian, M. (2011). UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies: 2011 Biodiversity snapshot. Michele Christian, Division Manager Natural Resources, Government of Pitcairn Islands.
- Convention on Biological Diversity. (CBD). (2010). Decision Adopted by The Conference of the Parties to The Convention on Biological Diversity at its Tenth meeting. Nagoya, Japan, 18-29 October 2010. Agenda item 4.4. X/2. The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
- Conservatives. (2015). The Conservative Party Manifesto 2015. Strong Leadership. A Clear Economic Plan. A Brighter, More Secure Future.
- Dawson, T.P., (2015). The UK Government agrees to create the world's largest marine reserve around the Pitcairn Islands, a UK Overseas Territory in the South Pacific, *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 21 (2), 108-109.
- Dawson, T.P., Irving, R. & Koldewey, H. (2015). A sustainable marine and fisheries management plan for the Pitcairn Islands. pp 232-233 in *Sustaining Partnerships: a conference on conservation and sustainability in UK Overseas Territories, Crown Dependencies and other small island communities*, Gibraltar 11th to 16th June 2015 (ed. by M. Pienkowski & C. Wensink). UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum, www.ukotcf.org.
- Day, J. C., Laffoley, D. and Zischka, K. (2015). 'Marine protected area management', in G. L. Worboys, M. Lockwood, A. Kothari, S. Feary and I. Pulsford (eds) *Protected Area Governance and Management*, pp. 609–650, ANU Press, Canberra.
- Day, A., Laffoley, D., Davis, J., Jeffrey, A., Musard, O., Vick, C. (2014). Innovation in communications about marine protection. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. Vol. 24 pp. 216-237
- De Santo, E. (2013). Missing Marine Protected Area (MPA) targets: How the push for quantity over quality undermines sustainability and social justice. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 124 pp. 137-146
- Edgar, G.J., Stuart-Smith, R.D., Willis, T.J., Kininmonth, S., Baker, S.C., Banks, S., Barrett, N.S., Becerro, M.A., Bernard, A.T.F., Berkhout, J., Buxton, C.D., Campbell, S.J., Cooper, A.T., Davey, M., Edgar, S.C., Försterra, G., Galvan, D.E., Irigoyen, A.J., Kushner, D.J., Moura, R., Parnell, P.E., Shears, N.T., Soler, G., Strain, E.M.A., Thomson, R.J. (2014). Global Conservation Outcomes depend on marine protected areas with five key features. *Nature* 13022 doi:10.1038, Vol. 000 Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
- Friedlander, A. M., Caselle, J. E., Ballesteros, E., Brown, E. K., Turchik, A., Sala, E. (2014). The Real Bounty: Marine Biodiversity in the Pitcairn Islands. *Plos One*, Vol. 9, issue 6. e100142. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100142
- Govan, H., Aalbersberg, W., Tawake, A., and Parks, J. (2008). *Locally-Managed Marine Areas: A guide for practitioners*. The Locally-Managed Marine Area Network.
- Goldsmith, Z. (2014). *Marine Protected Areas in the UK's Overseas Territories*
- Gruby, R. L., Gray, N.J., Campbell, L.M., Acton, L. (2015). Towards a Social Science Research Agenda for Large Marine Protected Areas. *Conservation Letters*. doi: 10.1111/conl. 12194
- Gruby, R.L., Luke Fairbanks, Leslie Acton, Evan Artis, Lisa M. Campbell, Noella J. Gray, Lillian Mitchell, Sarah Bess Jones Zigler & Katie Wilson (2017) Conceptualizing Social Outcomes of Large Marine Protected Areas, *Coastal Management*, 45:6, 416-435, DOI: [10.1080/08920753.2017.1373449](https://doi.org/10.1080/08920753.2017.1373449)
- HM Treasury, Budget. (2015). UK Government. Downloaded at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/budget-2015-documents>
- Hockings, M., Stolton, S., Leverington, F., Dudley, N. and Courrau, J. (2006). *Evaluating Effectiveness: A framework for assessing management effectiveness of protected areas*. 2nd edition. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. xiv + 105 pp.

768

769491

770492

771493

772494

773495

774496

775497

776498

777499

778500

779501

780502

781503

782504

783505

784506

785507

786508

787509

788510

789511

790512

791513

792514

793515

794516

795517

796518

797519

798520

799521

800522

801523

802524

803525

804526

805527

806528

807529

808530

809531

810532

811533

812534

813535

814536

815537

816538

817539

818540

819541

820542

821543

822544

823545

824546

825547

825

826

IMPAC3. (2013). Executive Summary: Focusing Action, Picking up the Pace for Ocean Conservation, Agence des Aires Marines Protégées, 29229 Brest Cedex 2

IUCN WCPA, 2018. Applying IUCN's Global Conservation Standards to Marine Protected Areas (MPA). Delivering effective conservation action through MPAs, to secure ocean health & sustainable development. Version 1.0. Gland, Switzerland.

Irving, R.A., & Dawson, T.P. (2012). The Marine environment of the Pitcairn Islands. A Report to Global Ocean Legacy, a project of the Pew Environment Group. Dundee, Scotland: Dundee University Press 115pp.

Kelleher, G. (1999). Guidelines for Marine Protected Areas. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. xxiv +107pp

Kelleher, G. & Kenchington, R. (1992). Guidelines for Establishing Marine Protected Areas. A Marine Conservation and Development Report. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. Vii+ 79 pp.

Koldewey, H. (2013). Pitcairn Marine Research Outline Plan report

Leenhardt, P., Cazalet, B., Salvat, B., Claudet, J., Feral, F. (2013). The rise of large-scale marine protected areas: Conservation or geopolitics? Ocean & Conservation management, Vol. 85, pp. 112-118. Elsevier

Lewis, N., Day, J.C., Wilhelm, A., Wagner, D., Gaymer, C., Parks, J., Friedlander, A., White, S., Sheppard, C., Spalding, M., San Martin, G., Skeat, A., Taei, S., Teroroko, T., Evans, J. (2017). Large-Scale Marine Protected Areas: Guidelines for design and management. Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series, No. 26, Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. xxviii + 120 pp

Pelletier, D. (2011). Indicators – Constructing and Validating indicators of the effectiveness of marine protected areas pp. 247-290. In Marine Protected Areas: a multidisciplinary approach. Ed. Claude Joachim, Cambridge University Press.

Pitcairn Island Council. (2014). Pitcairn Islands Repopulation Plan 2014-2019.

Pitcairn Island Council. (2014). Pitcairn Islands Strategic Development Plan 2014-2018. Government of Pitcairn Islands.

Pomeroy, R.S., Parks, J.E. and Watson, L.M. (2004). How is your MPA doing? A Guidebook of Natural and Social Indicators for Evaluating Marine Protected Area Management Effectiveness. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. xvi +216pp.

Rand, M. (2015). Supporting a Healthy Ocean, The Pew Charitable Trusts fact sheet

Rand, M. (2016). Global Ocean Legacy, The Pew Charitable Trusts fact sheet

Risotto, A. (2015). The Virtual Watch Room, Pew Charitable Trusts / Satellite Applications Catapult infographic

Royle, J. (2015). The Pitcairn Islands, Pew Charitable Trusts fact sheet

Sala, E., Friedlander, A., Ballesteros, E., Brown, E., Bradner, H., Caselle, J., Fay, J.M., Turchik, A. (2012). Pitcairn Islands Expedition Report. National Geographic Society and Pew Environment Group. 99pp.

Sala, E. (2015). Pristine Seas. National Geographic Society

Salm, R.V., Clark, J.R., Siirila, E. (2000). Marine and Coastal Protected Areas. A Guide for Planners and Managers. Third Edition. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. Washington DC xxi +371pp.

Solomon, R., & Burnett, K. (2014). Pitcairn Island Economic Review. Final Report. Solomon Leonard Ltd, Wellington, New Zealand

The Pew Charitable Trusts (Pew), National Geographic Society, Pitcairn Island Council. (2015). Protect Pitcairn: An Underwater Bounty. Let's Create the Largest Marine Reserve in the World!

Whitebread, E. (2013). Developing an Effective Enforcement System for a Marine Reserve in the Pitcairn Islands, Pew Charitable Trusts

Whitebread, E. (2013). Is Offshore Commercial Fishing a Prospect in the Pitcairn Islands? Report by the Pew Charitable Trusts, the National Geographic Society, the Pitcairn Island Council for submission to the UK FCO

Whitebread, E. (2013). The Potential Tourism Impact of Creating the World's Largest Marine Reserve in the Pitcairn Islands. Report by the Pew Charitable Trusts, the National Geographic Society, the Pitcairn Island Council for submission to the UK FCO, written by Blue Ventures

Wilhelm, 'A., Friedlander, A., Skeat, A., Sheppard, A., Bowen, B., Gaymar, C., Sheppard, C., Wagner, D., San Martin, G., Wright, I., Philibotte, J., Parks, J., Bosanquet, J., Brider, J., Aiona, K., Morishige, K., Wright-Koteka, L., Lewis, N., Brownie, N., Kosaki, R., Rotjan, R., Jamieson, R., Toonen, R., Constantine, R., Van Dijken, S., Anderson, S., Taei, S., Temata, T., Carruthers, T., Durbin, T., Teroroko, T., Short, T., Tooma, W., Basher, Z. (2013). BIG OCEAN – A Shared Research Agenda for Large-Scale Marine Protected Areas. Edited by Daniel Wagner, PNMM

Wilhelm, 'A., Sheppard, C.R.C., Sheppard, A.L.S., Gaymer, C.F., Parks, J., Wagner, D., Lewis, N. (2014). Large marine protected areas – advantages and challenges of goinb big. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems. Vol. 24 (Suppl. 2): 24-30

Wilhelm, 'A., Taei, S., Teroroko, T. (2011). Big Ocean: A Network of the World's Large-Scale Marine Managed Areas. IMCC2 Paper Presentation given May 17, 2011, Victoria, Canada

Wright, G., Rochette, J., Druel, E., Gjerde, K. (2015). The Long and winding road continues: Towards a new agreement on high seas governance, Study N°1/16, IDDRI, Paris, France, 50 p.

Captions

Figure 1 and 2 – Top 10 MPAs by coverage and MPAs in numbers (UNEP-WCMC, 2018)

Figure 3 – Location of the Pitcairn Islands (National Geographic, 2015)

Figure 4 – Global Ocean Legacy, Pitcairn Islands map (Pew, 2015)

Figure 5 – Big Ocean network map (Big Ocean, 2010)

Table 1 – The Traffic Light Approach (TLA) used to evaluate the PIMR (Author, 2018)

Table 2 – The 10 Criteria adapted from the IUCN WCPA guidelines (Author, 2018)

Table 3 – Results for the PIMR using the TLA approach (Author, 2018)

Figure 6 – The Pitcairn Marine Reserve team at IMPAC3 (Pew, 2013)

Position

Figure 1 and 2: line 16

Figure 3: line 51

Figure 4: line 73

Figure 5: line 83

Table 1: line 97

Table 2: line 128

Table 3: line 344

Figure 6: line 380